I. The Transcendental Aesthetic (TA)
   A. **Strategy of the TA**: prove TI and ground SAPJs, by showing:
      1. The empirical reality of space and time as forms of Outer Sense (OS) and Inner Sense (IS)
      2. The transcendental ideality of space and time
      3. The poss. of synthetic a priori truths of pure math., given (1) and (2)
   B. **Arithmetic**:
      1. “7+5=12” is not analytically true (Infinitely Many Equivalents)
      2. “7+5=12” is nec. true iff. the pure intuition of time is presupposed.
   C. **Geometry**:
      1. If A, B are perfect physical counterparts, then nec “A=B” is not analytically true (The actual world: my hands)
      2. “A is not equal to B” is nec. true iff. the pure intuition of space is presupposed.

II. **Where we are after TA is done**
   A. Settled DH's 2nd challenge (how are a priori truths possible after radical empiricism?): truths of arithmetic and geometry are SAPJs, hence nec. truths about matters of fact, hence the answer to DH's 2nd challenge is YES!
   B. Leftover problem: is K's idealism subject to strong Cartesian scepticism (what IK calls "problematic idealism")?

III. **Solution: Just say No to PI! The Refutation of Idealism**
   A. PI: the "scandal to philosophy and to human reason in general"
   B. **Strategy of the Proof**:
      1. my inner self-c'ness in time
      2. if (1), then nec. my outer awareness of some permanent object in space (to explain my claim that I am the same through time).
   C. One leftover problem: moderate Cartesian scepticism
IV. K's Strategy Against Moderate Cartesian Scepticism: Metaphysical Deduction (MD)+Second Analogy of Experience (SAE).-->conditions of the possibility of empirical objectivity.

A. K's "Linguistic Turn": The Metaphysical Deduction
   --"deductions"=proofs or rightful possession (Kant: cog. possessions)
   --the main idea:
   talk-->thought ("judgment")-->logic-->PCUs-->reality

B. Phase A: From Language to Logic to the PCUs
   Thesis 1: That the fundamental logical forms are the PCUs
   understanding-->judgment-->log. forms-->PCUs

C. Phase B: from the PCUs to Reality
   Thesis 2: that the PCUs are the pure or a priori forms of the
cognition of all objects whatsoever
   cog=synthesis of intuition of objects by concepts-->judgment-->log. forms-->PCUs-->"categories" of reality

D. Leftover problem: MD does not show that the intuitions are ours: sensory intuition vs. "intellectual" (divine) intuition. Hence, does not show that the PCUs apply necessarily to Empirical Intuitions.
Solution: The Transcendental Deduction and the Second Analogy of Experience. With these last components in place, the argument against Moderate Dream Scepticism is concluded.